

**BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DARRIN R. IVES

**ON BEHALF OF EVERGY METRO, INC., EVERGY KANSAS
CENTRAL, INC. AND EVERGY KANSAS SOUTH, INC.**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.,
EVERGY KANSAS SOUTH, INC., AND EVERGY METRO, INC. FOR
DETERMINATION OF THE RATEMAKING PRINCIPLES AND TREATMENT
THAT WILL APPLY TO THE RECOVERY IN RATES OF THE COST TO BE
INCURRED FOR CERTAIN ELECTRIC GENERATION FACILITIES UNDER
K.S.A. 66-117.**

Docket No. 25-EKCE-207-PRE

February 14, 2025

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Darrin R. Ives. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri
3 64105.

4 **Q. Did you file direct testimony in this docket on November 6, 2024?**

5 A. Yes.

6 **Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental direct testimony?**

7 A. In accordance with the decision framework described in my initial direct testimony, it has
8 been determined that the second 50% ownership interest in the McNew combined cycle
9 gas turbine (“CCGT”) station should be allocated to Evergy Missouri West (“EMW”). My
10 supplemental direct testimony:

11 (1) Reaffirms that the acquisition by Evergy Kansas Central (“EKC”) of a 50% ownership
12 interest in the McNew CCGT station and a 50% ownership interest in the Viola CCGT
13 station is consistent with EKC’s most recent Integrated Resources Plan (“IRP”) and
14 is reasonable, reliable, and efficient;

15 (2) Identifies and discusses the factors supporting this allocation decision;

16 (3) Identifies the definitive cost estimates for the Viola and McNew CCGT stations and
17 the Kansas Sky solar facility; and

18 (4) Provides an overview of the estimated rate impacts attributable to the construction
19 and commercial operation of the two CCGT stations and the solar facility.

20 **Q. Please identify the other Company witnesses who are filing supplemental direct
21 testimony in this docket.**

22 A. Below is a list of other Company witnesses filing supplemental direct testimony and the
23 subject matters addressed by each witness:

- 1 ▪ Jason Humphrey discusses progress made on EKC’s development efforts with respect
2 to the two CCGT facilities and the Kansas Sky solar facility. He also discusses the
3 potential impacts of President Trump’s plan to levy new tariffs on steel and aluminum
4 imports.
- 5 ▪ Cody VandeVelde provides a detailed explanation of the consistency between EKC’s
6 2024 IRP and the McNew CCGT station allocation decision, including the impact of
7 the definitive cost estimate on the preferred portfolio selection.
- 8 ▪ J Kyle Olson identifies and explains the elements of the definitive cost estimates for
9 the Viola and McNew CCGT stations. He also addresses the reasonableness of the
10 definitive cost estimates.

11 **Q. In your initial direct testimony you indicated that EKC’s “starting proposal” was to**
12 **allocate the full 100% of the McNew CCGT station to EKC but indicated that 50%**
13 **of the allocation could be transferred to EMW or Evergy Metro (“EM”) if certain**
14 **conditions were met. What factual and analytical considerations supported allocating**
15 **50% of the McNew CCGT station to EMW?**

16 A. As I stated in my initial direct testimony, there was a possibility that the second 50% of the
17 McNew CCGT station could be transferred to EMW for regional resource adequacy
18 considerations.¹ We have determined that, based on a number of considerations and factors
19 related to regional resource adequacy, expected load and demand increases, and relative
20 need among the possible candidates for allocation of the second 50% of the McNew
21 facility, allocation to EMW provides the best fit.

¹ See Ives Direct Testimony, p. 31 (Nov. 6, 2024).

1 **Q. Please describe the decision-making process utilized to arrive at the decision to**
2 **allocate the second 50% of the McNew CCGT facility to EMW.**

3 A. As stated in my initial direct testimony, the second 50% of the McNew CCGT facility would
4 be transferred to EMW only if three conditions were met:

5 (1) The addition of an incremental large load customer under evaluation is confirmed to
6 be located in EMW territory and EMW is responsible for developing capacity
7 resources to meet the new load;

8 (2) EMW is able to complete transmission infrastructure upgrades in time to accommodate
9 the new large load customer's planned load ramp; and

10 (3) EMW is able to finance the construction and ownership of the 50% allocation.

11 **Q. Have those three conditions been met?**

12 A. Yes, all three conditions have been met. As outlined in the supplemental direct testimony
13 of Cody VandeVelde, the Company reviewed and considered a number of factors that can
14 play a role in impacting resource need among the various affiliates, EKC, EM and EMW.
15 These factors included, but were not necessarily limited to, likely load additions and future
16 demand projections, as well as flexibility within each affiliate's portfolio. Although no
17 particular load addition or additions anticipated by any affiliate drove the decision, the
18 Company did analyze likely load additions in each affiliate's territory, which included an
19 AQ assessment with Southwest Power Pool ("SPP"). Ultimately, the analysis concluded
20 that all three affiliates had sufficient future load and additional demand to support
21 allocation of the second half of the McNew facility. However, EKC and EM demonstrated
22 that they have a later need for the additional capacity, or have more flexibility with respect
23 to other generation assets, including possible flexibility to delay retirement of some assets.

1 This flexibility would enable EKC and EM to more readily meet their customers' needs
2 without the addition of the second half of the McNew facility. In addition, EMW not only
3 presented less flexibility than the other two candidate affiliates, but it also has the most
4 acute need for the additional generation provided by the second half of the McNew facility.
5 Based on these factors, the decision was made to allocate the second half of the McNew
6 facility to EMW.

7 **Q. Is EKC's acquisition of 50% of the Viola CCGT facility to go into service in January**
8 **1, 2029, and 50% of the McNew CCGT facility to go into service January 1, 2030, and**
9 **100% of the Kansas Sky solar facility consistent with EKC's most recent IRP?**

10 A. Yes. These generation additions are consistent with the most recent EKC IRP. As stated in
11 the supplemental direct testimony of Cody VandeVelde, acquisition of 50% of the Viola
12 CCGT facility corresponds with the 325 MW of additional thermal generation called for in
13 2029 by the preferred portfolio. Similarly, 50% of the McNew CCGT facility corresponds
14 with the 325 MW of additional thermal generation called for in 2030, and is therefore also
15 consistent with the 2024 IRP. In addition, the 159 MW Kansas Sky solar facility directly
16 corresponds with the 150 MW of additional solar generation called for in 2027. The generation
17 additions under review in this docket fit directly with specific generation requirements in
18 EKC's 2024 IRP and, therefore, the addition of these assets is consistent with EKC's most
19 recent preferred plan.

20 **Q. In your initial direct testimony you proposed that EKC would provide updated cost**
21 **information, including definitive cost estimates for the Viola and McNew generating**
22 **stations, in supplemental testimony to be filed February 14, 2025. What are the**
23 **definitive cost estimates for the two stations?**

1 A. The definitive cost estimates for the two CCGT facilities are specifically identified and
2 discussed in detail in the supplemental direct testimony of Mr. Olsen. The definitive cost
3 estimates include specific cost figures derived from EPC bids submitted and received on
4 or around January 31, 2025. Those bids and bid detail, including specific categories of costs
5 as well as information from owner costs related to various categories of costs, have all been
6 incorporated to arrive at the definitive cost estimates for these projects. As Mr. Olson states
7 in his supplemental direct testimony, the definitive cost estimates for the CCGT projects
8 changed very little from the initial cost estimates submitted with EKC's Petition and initial
9 direct testimony filed in this docket on November 6, 2024. Specific detail regarding these
10 cost categories and the estimates making up the definitive cost estimates are discussed in
11 greater detail in Mr. Olson's supplemental direct testimony and are identified in the
12 Confidential exhibits attached to that testimony. Mr. Olson's supplemental direct testimony
13 also identifies the total dollar amounts of the definitive cost estimates.

14 **Q. Have there been any changes or updates to the cost estimate for construction of the**
15 **Kansas Sky solar facility?**

16 A. No. There have been no changes to the cost estimate or the levelized rate requirement for the
17 Kansas Sky solar facility. The original cost estimate and levelized rate requirement for the
18 Kansas Sky solar facility are disclosed in the direct testimony of Company witness John
19 Carlson, filed in this docket on November 6, 2024. The specific dollar amount of the
20 definitive cost estimate for the Kansas Sky solar facility is stated in Jason Humphrey's
21 supplemental direct testimony filing.

22 **Q. Do you have an opinion as to the reasonableness of the definitive cost estimates?**

1 A. My opinion is that the definitive cost estimates are the result of a diligent and competitive
2 process. The Company is now working to complete contracting with highly capable
3 engineering and contracting firms to perform the design and construction of these projects
4 at a reasonable and competitive cost. In my opinion, based on the need for new diverse
5 generation assets, the projected demands placed on EKC's system, and the highly competitive
6 market for procurement and construction related to new generation facilities and equipment,
7 the costs of these projects are reasonable. Furthermore, the addition of diverse generation
8 assets, including highly reliable and dispatchable CCGT generation, as well as clean solar
9 generation assets, further underscores the reasonableness of the projects and their costs, and
10 provides additional reliable and efficient generation for EKC customers.

11 **Q. Has there been progress made with respect to development of the two CCGT facilities**
12 **and the Kansas Sky solar facility since you filed your initial direct testimony on**
13 **November 6, 2024?**

14 A. Yes, there have been substantial developments on all three projects since that time. In
15 summary, EKC has finalized Power Island Equipment ("PIE") agreements and Generator
16 Step Up Transformer ("GSU") agreements for both CCGT projects. In addition, as discussed
17 above, EKC has received complete EPC bids, enabling EKC to complete its definitive cost
18 estimates for both CCGT projects. With respect to the Kansas Sky solar project, EKC has
19 selected an EPC contractor, DEPCOM, and has executed an EPC contract for that project.
20 EKC has also formalized its solar panel supply contract with its contractor, ZNShine PV-
21 Tec Co., and has secured an agreement for GSU equipment with its supplier, GE Waukesha,
22 for the Kansas Sky solar project. These developments, and certain new risks facing the

1 three projects under review, are discussed in greater detail in the supplemental direct
2 testimony of Jason Humphrey.

3 **Q. Based on these developments, are the projects progressing as expected?**

4 A. These developments show that the projects are progressing in due course and are timely
5 proceeding as expected on a path toward the construction phase. We are pleased with the
6 progress we have seen so far, and we look forward to continuing to advance these important
7 projects toward construction.

8 **Q. Is EKC proposing any changes in the accounting methodology or cost recovery**
9 **approaches described in the Petition and direct testimony, including the utilization of**
10 **the statutory CWIP rider for the two natural gas plants?**

11 A. No. The accounting methodologies proposed in my initial direct testimony, and particularly
12 those addressed beginning at page 11 of the direct testimony of Company witness Ron
13 Klote related to accounting for the jointly owned assets, the use of Joint Ownership and
14 Joint Operating Agreements and general accounting for costs related to and incurred in
15 construction of jointly owned assets, have not changed.

16 **Q. Given the definitive cost estimates and the decision that EMW will acquire a 50%**
17 **ownership interest in the McNew generating station, what are the estimated rate**
18 **impacts attributable to the overall project – i.e., the two CCGT stations and the Kansas**
19 **Sky solar project?**

20 A. The estimated rate impacts of these projects were addressed in the direct testimonies of Mr.
21 Klote and John Grace. Mr. Klote's and Mr. Grace's estimated rate impacts related to both
22 the CCGT projects and the Kansas Sky solar project are also summarized in my initial

1 direct testimony.² Those estimated rate impacts assumed that EKC would acquire 50% of
2 each of the Viola and McNew CCGT facilities, and would acquire 100% of the Kansas Sky
3 solar facility. Because the Company has decided to allocate the second 50% of the McNew
4 facility to EMW, the ultimate assignment and ownership of these facilities matches the initial
5 assumptions on which the rate estimates were based. Therefore, no adjustment to the
6 estimated rate impacts was required as a result of the allocation decision for the second 50%
7 of the McNew facility. In addition, as discussed above, the estimated costs of the projects
8 changed very little between the original cost estimate and the definitive cost estimate. EKC
9 has analyzed expected rate impacts inputting the cost figures in the definitive cost estimate.
10 That updated analysis revealed no changes to the estimated rate impacts based upon the
11 relatively small difference between the original cost estimate and the definitive cost estimate.
12 Therefore, original estimated rate impacts identified by Mr. Klote and Mr. Grace have not
13 changed.

14 **Q. In his supplemental direct testimony, Jason Humphrey identifies President Trump’s new**
15 **steel and aluminum tariff proclamations as an emerging project risk. Please briefly**
16 **describe these tariffs and explain what the Company is proposing to address this new**
17 **development.**

18 A. President Trump recently issued executive proclamations announcing his plan to impose new
19 25% tariffs on steel and aluminum imports and to revoke existing alternative arrangements
20 and exemptions from these duties. The proclamations, which are scheduled to go into effect
21 March 12, 2025, expand the tariff orders issued by Mr. Trump in 2018 during his first term
22 in office. The new tariffs would add another layer of risk and complexity to project

² See Ives Direct Testimony, p. 34 (Nov. 6, 2024).

1 development, and implementation of these tariffs is beyond the Company's control. In order
2 to appropriately address this extraordinary development, as Mr. Humphrey explains in his
3 supplemental direct testimony, the Company requests leave to submit an adjusted definitive
4 cost estimate, along with supporting testimony, accounting for the known and quantifiable
5 impacts of President Trump's tariff proclamations. The Company's proposal would be to
6 file the adjusted definitive cost estimate after the impacts of the tariff proclamations and
7 other changes in law or tariff policy become more definite and certain upon implementation.

8 **Q. Does that conclude your testimony?**

9 A. Yes.

STATE OF KANSAS)
)
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE) ss:
)

VERIFICATION

Darrin R Ives, being duly sworn upon his oath deposes and states that he is the Vice President, Regulatory Affairs, for Evergy, Inc., that he has read and is familiar with the foregoing Testimony, and attests that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.



Darrin R. Ives

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of February 2025.


Notary Public

My Appointment Expires: May 30, 2024



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been emailed, this 14th day of February 2025, to all parties of record as listed below:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY
ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P.
216 S HICKORY
PO BOX 17
OTTAWA, KS 66067-0017
jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

SHELLY M BASS, SENIOR
ATTORNEY
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION
5430 LBJ FREEWAY
1800 THREE LINCOLN CENTRE
DALLAS, TX 75240
shelly.bass@atmosenergy.com

KATHLEEN R OCANAS, DIVISION VP
OF RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION
25090 W 110TH TERR
OLATHE, KS 66061
Kathleen.Ocanas@atmosenergy.com

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, CONSUMER
COUNSEL
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER
BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Joseph.Astrab@ks.gov

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER
BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Todd.Love@ks.gov

SHONDA RABB
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER
BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Shonda.Rabb@ks.gov

DELLA SMITH
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER
BOARD

1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Della.Smith@ks.gov

Randall F. Larkin, Attorney
CITY OF LAWRENCE
PO Box 708
Lawrence, KS 66044
rlarkin@lawrenceks.org

Brandon McGuire, Asst. City Manager
CITY OF LAWRENCE
PO Box 708
Lawrence, KS 66044
bmcguire@lawrenceks.org

Kathy Richardson, Sustainability
Director
CITY OF LAWRENCE
PO Box 708
Lawrence, KS 66044
krichardson@lawrenceks.org

TONI WHEELER, DIRECTOR, LEGAL
SERVICES DEPT.
CITY OF LAWRENCE
CITY HALL
6 EAST SIXTH ST
LAWRENCE, KS 66044
twheeler@lawrenceks.org

DOROTHY BARNETT
CLIMATE & ENERGY PROJECT
PO BOX 1858
HUTCHINSON, KS 67504-1858
barnett@climateandenergy.org

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SR
DIRECTOR & REGULATORY AFFAIRS
COUNSEL
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC
818 S KANSAS AVE
PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
Cathy.Dinges@evergy.com

LESLIE WINES, Sr. Exec. Admin.

Asst.
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC
818 S KANSAS AVE
PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
leslie.wines@evergy.com

DANIEL J BULLER, ATTORNEY
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE
1400
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041
dbuller@foulston.com

MOLLY E MORGAN, ATTORNEY
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
1551 N. Waterfront Parkway
Suite 100
Wichita, KS 67206
mmorgan@foulston.com

SARAH C. OTTO
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE
1400
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041
sotto@foulston.com

LEE M SMITHYMAN, ATTORNEY
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE
1400
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041
lsmithyman@foulston.com

C. EDWARD WATSON, ATTORNEY
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
1551 N WATERFRONT PKWY STE
100
WICHITA, KS 67206-4466
cewatson@foulston.com

JAMES P ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE
1400
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041
jzakoura@foulston.com

Kevin M Fowler, Counsel
Frieden & Forbes, LLP
1414 SW Ashworth Place Ste 201
Topeka, KS 66604

kfowler@fflawllp.com

Constance Chan, Senior Category
Manager - Electricity & Business
Travel
HF SINCLAIR EL DORADO REFINING
LLC
2323 Victory Ave. Ste 1400
Dalla, TX 75219
constance.chan@hfsinclair.com

Jon Lindsey, Corporate Counsel
HF SINCLAIR EL DORADO REFINING
LLC
550 E. South Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84102
jon.lindsey@hfsinclair.com

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL
COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION
COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Brian.Fedotin@ks.gov

JUSTIN GRADY, CHIEF OF
REVENUE REQUIREMENTS, COST
OF SERVICE & FINANCE
KANSAS CORPORATION
COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Justin.Grady@ks.gov

PATRICK HURLEY, CHIEF
LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION
COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Patrick.Hurley@ks.gov

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION
COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION
COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Carly.Masenthin@ks.gov

JANET BUCHANAN, DIRECTOR OF
RATES & REGULATORY

KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION
OF ONE GAS, INC.
7421 W 129TH STREET
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213
janet.buchanan@onegas.com

LORNA EATON, MANAGER OF
RATES AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION
OF ONE GAS, INC.
7421 W 129TH STREET
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213
lorna.eaton@onegas.com

ROBERT E. VINCENT, MANAGING
ATTORNEY
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION
OF ONE GAS, INC.
7421 W. 129TH STREET
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213
robert.vincent@onegas.com

PAUL MAHLBERG, GENERAL
MANAGER
KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY
AGENCY
6300 W 95TH ST
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431
mahlberg@kmea.com

TERRI J PEMBERTON, GENERAL
COUNSEL
KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY
AGENCY
6300 W 95TH ST
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431
pemberton@kmea.com

DARREN PRINCE, MANAGER,
REGULATORY & RATES
KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY
AGENCY
6300 W 95TH ST
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431
prince@kmea.com

JAMES GING, DIRECTOR
ENGINEERING SERVICES
KANSAS POWER POOL
100 N BROADWAY STE L110
WICHITA, KS 67202
jging@kpp.agency

COLIN HANSEN, CEO/GENERAL
MANAGER
KANSAS POWER POOL
100 N BROADWAY STE L110
WICHITA, KS 67202
chansen@kpp.agency

LARRY HOLLOWAY, ASST GEN
MGR OPERATIONS
KANSAS POWER POOL
100 N BROADWAY STE L110
WICHITA, KS 67202
lholloway@kpp.agency

ALISSA GREENWALD, ATTORNEY
KEYES & FOX LLP
1580 LINCOLN STREET STE 1105
DENVER, CO 80203
AGREENWALD@KEYESFOX.COM

JASON KEYES, PARTNER
KEYES & FOX LLP
580 CALIFORNIA ST 12TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
JKEYES@KEYESFOX.COM

PATRICK PARKE, CEO
MIDWEST ENERGY, INC.
1330 Canterbury Rd
PO Box 898
Hays, KS 67601-0898
patparke@mwenergy.com

AARON ROME, VP OF ENERGY
SUPPLY
MIDWEST ENERGY, INC.
1330 CANTERBURY DRIVE
PO BOX 898
HAYS, KS 67601-0898
arome@mwenergy.com

VALERIE SMITH, ADMINISTRATIVE
ASSISTANT
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK &
KENNEDY
800 SW JACKSON
SUITE 1310
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216
vsmith@morrislaing.com

TREVOR WOHLFORD, ATTORNEY
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK &
KENNEDY

800 SW JACKSON
SUITE 1310
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216
twohlford@morrislaing.com

GLENDA CAFER, MORRIS LAING
LAW FIRM
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK &
KENNEDY CHTD
800 SW JACKSON STE 1310
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216
gcafer@morrislaing.com

RITA LOWE, PARALEGAL
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK &
KENNEDY CHTD
300 N MEAD STE 200
WICHITA, KS 67202-2745
rlowe@morrislaing.com

WILL B. WOHLFORD, ATTORNEY
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK &
KENNEDY CHTD
300 N MEAD STE 200
WICHITA, KS 67202-2745
wwohlford@morrislaing.com

ASHOK GUPTA, EXPERT
NATIONAL RESOURCES DEFENSE
COUNCIL
20 N WACKER DRIVE SUITE 1600
CHICAGO, IL 60606
agupta@nrdc.org

DAN BRUER, EXECUTIVE
DIRECTOR
NEW ENERGY ECONOMICS
1390 YELLOW PINE AVE
BOULDER, CO 80305
DAN.BRUER@NEWENERGYECONOMICS.ORG

TIM OPITZ
OPITZ LAW FIRM, LLC
308 E. HIGH STREET
SUITE B101
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101
tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY
POLSINELLI PC
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112

acallenbach@polsinelli.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY
POLSINELLI PC
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112
fcaro@polsinelli.com

JARED R. JEVONS, ATTORNEY
POLSINELLI PC
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112
JJEVONS@POLSINELLI.COM

Greg Wright
Priority Power Mgt.
12512 Augusta Dr
Kansas City, KS 66109
gwright@prioritypower.com

JAMES OWEN, COUNSEL
RENEW MISSOURI ADVOCATES
915 E ASH STREET
COLUMBIA, MO 65201
JAMES@RENEWMO.ORG

TIMOTHY J LAUGHLIN, ATTORNEY
SCHOONOVER & MORIARTY, LLC
130 N. CHERRY STREET, STE 300
OLATHE, KS 66061
tlaughlin@schoonoverlawfirm.com

Peggy A. Trent, Chief County
Counselor
The Board of County Commissioners
of Johnson County
111 S. Cherry Ste 3200
Olathe, KS 66061
peg.trent@jocogov.org

ROBERT R. TITUS
TITUS LAW FIRM, LLC
7304 W. 130th St.
Suite 190
Overland Park, KS 66213
rob@tituslawkc.com

J.T. KLAUS, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON,
LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
jtklaus@twgfirm.com

KACEY S MAYES, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON,
LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
ksmayes@twgfirm.com

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON,
LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM

JOHN J. MCNUTT, General Attorney
U.S. ARMY LEGAL SERVICES
AGENCY
REGULATORY LAW OFFICE
9275 GUNSTON RD., STE. 1300

FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060-5546
john.j.mcnutt.civ@army.mil

DAN LAWRENCE, GENERAL
COUNSEL - USD 259
UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 259
903 S EDGEMOOR RM 113
WICHITA, KS 67218
dlawrence@usd259.net

KEVIN K. LACHANCE, CONTRACT
LAW ATTORNEY
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
DEFENSE
ADMIN & CIVIL LAW DIVISION
OFFICE OF STAFF JUDGE
ADVOCATE
FORT RILEY, KS 66442
kevin.k.lachance.civ@army.mil

lsl Cathy J Dinges

Cathy J. Dinges