2009.06.12 14:59:51 Kansas Corporation Commission /S/ Susan K. Duffy

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Before Commissioners:	Thomas E. Wright, Chairman
	Michael C. Moffet
	Joseph F. Harkins

In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Price Deregulation of Business Telecommunications Services in the Dodge City, Garden City, Humboldt, Larned, and Lindsborg, Kansas Exchanges Pursuant to K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 66-2005(q)(1).

Docket No. 09-SWBT-937-PDR

ORDER GRANTING CURB'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

The above matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission) for consideration and determination. Having examined its files and records and being duly advised in the premises, the Commission finds and concludes as follows:

1. On June 5, 2009, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) filed an application for price deregulation of business telecommunications services in the Dodge City, Garden City, Humboldt, Larened, and Lindsborg, Kansas exchanges pursuant to K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 66-2005(q)(1).

2. On June 10, 2009, the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) filed a petition for intervention and motion for expedited protective order (Petition). CURB notes at paragraph 2 of its Petition that its "Consumer Counsel has been given the discretion to intervene and represent the interests of Kansas residential and small commercial ratepayers in any utility proceeding before the Commission under K.S.A. 66-1223 <u>et seq</u>." CURB also requests the Commission issue a protective order on an expedited schedule because the Commission is required to act on SWBT's filing no later than June 26, 2009, subject to a 30-day suspension period. K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 66-2005(q)(4). 3. The Commission finds that CURB, in its role as set forth in K.S.A. 66-1223 as Consumer Counsel, has stated facts demonstrating that CURB's legal rights, duties, privileges, immunities, or other legal interests may be substantially affected by this proceeding. K.A.R. 82-1-225(a)(2). Further, the Commission finds that the interests of justice and the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings will not be impaired by allowing the intervention. K.A.R. 82-1-225(a)(3). Given these findings, the Commission finds that K.A.R. 82-1-225(a) requires the Commission grant CURB's petition for intervention.

4. The Commission will contemporaneously issue a protective order in this docket.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COMMISSION ORDERED THAT:

A. CURB's Petition to Intervene is granted.

B. The parties have fifteen days, plus three days if service is by mail, from the date the order was served in which to petition the Commission for reconsideration of any issues decided herein. K.S.A. 66-118b; K.S.A. 2008 Supp. 77-529(a)(1).

C. The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the purpose of entering such further orders as it may deem necessary.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Wright, Chmn.; Moffet, Com.; Harkins, Com.

Dated: JUN 1 2 2009

ORDERED MAILED

JUN 1 5 2009

Kaller EXECUTIV

DIRECTOR

Susan K. Duffy Executive Director

CRH