## THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:	Brian J. Moline, Cha Robert E. Krehbiel Michael C. Moffet	ir	
In the Matter of the Joint Applicat d/b/a Aquila Networks – WPK Kansas Electric Company, LLC Applicants, for an Order Approvi MKEC of WPK's Certificates of Franchises with Respect to All Electric Business, Including Transmission and Local Distributio in the State of Kansas, and for Othe	(WPK) and Mid- C (MKEC), Joint ng the Transfer to Convenience and of WPK's Kansas its Generation, n Facilities Located	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Docket No. 06-MKEE-524-ACQ

## ORDER GRANTING CURB'S PETITION TO INTERVENE

The above matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission). Being fully advised of all matters of record, the Commission finds:

- 1. On November 15, 2005, Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks WPK (WPK) and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC (MKEC), filed a joint application for an order that approved transfer of WPK's certificate of convenience and franchises to MKEC. The Application seeks to transfer all WPK's electric business in Kansas to MKEC, including WPK's generation, transmission and local distribution facilities located in Kansas. The Application asks the Commission to adopt current WPK retail rates for five years except that MKEC be allowed to seek or recover through rates costs related to changes in law or occurrence of extraordinary events beyond MKEC's control and costs related to investment in certain transmission facilities and other related relief needed to fulfill the intent and purpose of the parties to the transaction.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the application pursuant to K.S.A. 66-101, et seq., K.S.A. 66-104, K.S.A. 66-117, K.S.A. 66-131, and K.S.A. 66-136.

3. On November 17, 2005, the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) filed a

Petition to Intervene in this proceeding. CURB's Consumer Counsel has been given statutory

authority to represent the interests of Kansas residential and small commercial ratepayers in

utility proceedings. K.S.A. 66-1223, et seq. CURB asserted that the interests it represents may

not be adequately represented by existing parties.

4.

The Commission finds that granting intervention to CURB is in the interests of

justice and will not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings. K.A.R. 82-1-225.

CURB is granted intervention in this proceeding.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COMMISSION ORDERED THAT:

(A) The Petition to Intervene of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board is granted.

(B) A party may file a petition for reconsideration of this Order within 15 days of the

date of this Order. If service is by mail, 3 additional days may be added to the 15-day time limit

to petition for reconsideration. K.S.A. 66-118; K.S.A. 2004 Supp. 77-529(a)(1).

(C) The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the

purpose of entering such further orders as it may deem necessary.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Moline, Chr.; Krehbiel; Comm.; Moffet, Comm.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ NOV 2 9 2005

**ORDER MAILED** 

NOV 2 9 2005

Susan Laly Executive Director

Susan K. Duffy

Executive Director

mjc

2