#### BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Joint Application of [] Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and [] Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain ] Changes in their Charges for Electric Services []

KCC Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS

# CROSS-ANSWERING TESTIMONY OF

### BRIAN KALCIC

### ON BEHALF OF

## THE CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

June 22, 2018

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
2	A.	Brian Kalcic, 225 S. Meramec Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63105.			
3					
4	Q.	Are you the same Brian Kalcic who filed direct testimony in this docket on June 11,			
5		2018?			
6	A.	Yes.			
7					
8	Q.	What is the subject of your cross-answering testimony?			
9	A.	I will comment on the class cost of service and/or class revenue allocation positions of the			
10		following witnesses: 1) Mr. Larry Blank, testifying on behalf of the United States			
11		Department of Defense and all other Federal Executive Agencies ("DoD/FEA"); 2) Mr.			
12		Michael P. Gorman, testifying on behalf of the Kansas Industrial Consumers Group, Inc.			
13		("KIC"); and 3) Dr. Robert H. Glass, testifying on behalf of Staff.			
14					
15		DoD/FEA Witness Blank			
16	Q.	On pages 6-10 of his direct testimony, Mr. Blank discusses certain alleged deficiencies			
17		in the Company's filed AED/4CP COSS. Mr. Blank recommends that the			
18		Commission order Westar to address such alleged deficiencies in its compliance filing			
19		so as to produce a COSS with reliable results. Do you have any comment?			
20	A.	Yes. CURB takes no position with respect to the alleged deficiencies in the Company's			
21		COSS cited by Mr. Blank. At best, however, Mr. Blank's recommendations, if			
22		implemented, would produce cost-of-service results that follow from a "correct"			
23		application of the AED/4CP cost methodology to Westar's system.			

1	Q.	Has the KCC adopted the AED/4CP cost methodology advocated by Mr. Blank in a
2		litigated electric rate proceeding?
3	A.	Counsel advises that it has not.
4		
5	Q.	Does the AED/4CP cost methodology produce results consistent with the KCC-
6		approved BIP cost methodology?
7	A.	No, because the AED/4CP method fails to give weight to class energy use when allocating
8		production plant to rate classes.
9		
10	Q.	Should the Commission rely upon DoD/FEA's corrected AED/4CP cost methodology
11		to evaluate alternative class revenue allocation proposals in this case?
12	A.	No.
13		
14	Q.	Does Mr. Blank sponsor an alternative class revenue allocation proposal?
15	A.	Yes. With respect to the Company's Step 1 rate decrease, Mr. Blank recommends that
16		those classes with current rates of return below the Company's approved rate of return
17		should not receive a decrease. For the Step 2 rate change, he recommends that "no class
18		should receive a rate increase that causes their implied cost-based rate of return to exceed
19		1.25 times the Company's approved, cost-based rate of return." <sup>1</sup>
20		
21	Q.	What COSS does Mr. Blank propose that the Commission use to implement his class
22		revenue allocation proposal?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the Direct Testimony of Larry Blank at page 13.

1	A.	Mr. Blank states that the "starting point" should be a COSS that produces reliable results.				
2						
3	Q.	Does Mr. Blank believe that the Company's filed COSS produces reliable results?				
4	A.	No. However, Mr. Blank adds that until such time as the Commission orders Westar to				
5		implement his recommended changes to the Company's cost study, the Commission will				
6		have to rely upon the results of Westar's COSS.				
7						
8	Q.	Would it be appropriate for the Commission to use the results of either DoD/FEA's				
9		corrected AED/4CP cost methodology or Westar's filed AED/4CP COSS to implement				
10		Mr. Blank's class revenue allocation guidelines?				
11	A.	No, since both cost studies utilize the AED/4CP cost methodology.				
12						
13		KIC Witness Gorman				
14	Q.	On page 31 of his direct testimony, Mr. Gorman recommends shifting approximately				
15		\$234,000 of the Company's proposed Step 1 ILPS class revenue requirement to other				
16		rate classes that are currently priced below cost of service, based on the Company's				
17		cost-of-service study. Is KIC's proposed shift in class revenue responsibility				
18		appropriate?				
19	A.	No. As previously discussed, the Company's AED/4CP cost methodology is inconsistent				
20		with the KCC-approved BIP cost methodology. Consequently, the KCC should not rely				
21		upon the Company's COSS results when determining final class revenue requirement levels				
22		in this case.				

1		Staff Witness Glass				
2	Q.	Mr. Kalcic, have you reviewed the class revenue allocation proposals sponsored in the				
3		direct testimony of Dr. Glass?				
4	A.	Yes, I have.				
5						
6	Q.	How did Dr. Glass arrive at Staff's proposed Step 1 and Step 2 class revenue				
7		allocations in this proceeding?				
8	A.	Dr. Glass used the results of Staff's filed COSS, tempered by gradualism considerations, to				
9		develop Staff's proposed revenue allocation proposals. In particular, Dr. Glass assigned a				
10		uniform Step 1 decrease to each class based on existing class revenues. For Step 2, Dr.				
11		Glass assigned a uniform increase to those rate classes that exhibited a relative rate of				
12		return less that 1.0. As such, the LGS, Schools & Churches, ILP, LTM and ICS rate classes				
13		receive no increase under Staff's Step 2 revenue allocation proposal.				
14						
15	Q.	Does Dr. Glass indicate whether Staff's proposals result in a movement toward				
16		equalizing class rates of return?				
17	A.	Yes. On page 24 of his direct testimony, Dr. Glass states that Staff's class revenue				
18		allocation provides for a "partial" movement toward equalizing class rates of return, based				
19		on Staff's COSS.				
20						
21	Q.	Do you agree that Staff's combined Step 1 & 2 revenue allocation proposal provides				
22		for partial movement toward equalizing class rates of return, as suggested by Dr.				
23		Glass?				

A. No. Table 1 below shows class rates of return at present rates (columns 1-2) and at Staff's proposed, i.e., combined Step 1 & 2, revenue levels (columns 3-4), based on Staff's COSS.
A comparison of columns 2 and 4 of Table 1 indicates that Westar's rate classes do not exhibit movement toward equalizing class rate of return under Staff's combined Step 1 & 2 revenue allocation proposal.

TABLE 1

### Summary of Class Rates of Return at Present Rates and at Staff's Proposed Revenue Levels Basis: Staff COSS

			Staff	
	Existing	Relative	Proposed	Relative
Class	Revenues	ROR	Revenues	ROR
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Residential	6.06%	0.78	11.44%	1.62
RS-DG	9.27%	1.19	1.51%	0.21
SGS	5.79%	0.74	12.41%	1.76
MGS	6.78%	0.87	9.94%	1.41
LGS	11.32%	1.45	-1.78%	-0.25
Schools & Churches	10.91%	1.40	-1.99%	-0.28
ILP/LTM/ICS/	12.75%	1.64	-6.17%	-0.87
Lighting	5.84%	0.75	10.34%	1.47
Total Company	7.79%	1.00	7.06%	1.00

Source: Pages 1-2 of Exhibit DJM-E1, corrected per errata filing.

12

6

7

8

9

10

### 13 Q. How should the KCC modify Staff's proposed revenue allocation in order to better

# 14 reflect class cost of service indications, based on Staff's COSS?

15 A. Within the context of Staff's overall revenue allocation proposal, I recommend that the

16 KCC modify only Staff's proposed Step 2 revenue allocation. In particular, I recommend

<sup>11</sup> 

1		that the KCC approve assigning those	se classes that exhib	it a relative rate	of return less than	
2		1.0 in column 4 of Table 1, a uniform	n Step 2 increase.			
3						
4	Q.	How would your recommendation modify Staff's Step 2 revenue allocation proposal?				
5	A.	As shown in Table 2 below, CURB's proposal would shift approximately \$5.0 million of				
6		revenue responsibility from the Residential, SGS and MGS classes to the LGS, Schools &				
7		Churches, ILP, LTM and ICS rate classes, compared to Staff's proposed Step 2 revenue				
8		allocation.				
9						
10		TABLE 2				
11 12 13			f's Step 2 Revenue evised Step 2 Alloca sis: Staff COSS			
			Staff Step 2	Revised Step 2		
		Class	Increase	Increase		
			(1)	(3)		
		Residential	\$2,796,734			
		RS-DG	\$792	\$2,843		

15

# 16 **Q.** Does this conclude your cross-answering testimony?

SGS

MGS

Lighting

Schools & Churches LGS/ILP/LTM/ICS/

Total Company

- 17 A. Yes.
- 18

\$1,326,178

\$749,454

<u>\$119,454</u> \$4,992,612 \$644,580

\$4,345,189

\$4,992,612

#### **VERIFICATION**

SS:

STATE OF MISSOURI

COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS

.

I, Brian Kalcic, of lawful age and being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am a consultant for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board; that I have read and am familiar with the above and foregoing testimony and attest that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Kala

Brian Kalcic

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of 2018.

Notary Public

My Commission expires: 08/29/2020

ANDRIA HUTCHISON Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri St. Louis County My Commission Expires 08-29-2020 Commission # 16813307

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

#### 18-WSEE-328-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2018, to the following:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067 jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

KURT J. BOEHM, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

JODY KYLER COHN, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com

MARTIN J. BREGMAN BREGMAN LAW OFFICE, L.L.C. 311 PARKER CIRCLE LAWRENCE, KS 66049 mjb@mjbregmanlaw.com

DANIEL R. ZMIJEWSKI DRZ LAW FIRM 9229 WARD PARKWAY STE 370 KANSAS CITY, MO 64114 dan@drzlawfirm.com

DAVID BENDER EARTHJUSTICE 3916 Nakoma Road Madison, WI 63711 dbender@earthjustice.org

SHANNON FISK, ATTORNEY EARTHJUSTICE 1617 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD SUITE 1675 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 sfisk@earthjustice.org JILL TAUBER EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 jtauber@earthjustice.org

ELIZABETH A. BAKER 6610 SW 29th St. Topeka, KS 66614 <u>betsy@bakerlawks.com</u>

GREG WRIGHT EMG, INC. 420 NE LYMAN RD. TOPEKA, KS 66608 greg@emgnow.com

DAVID BANKS, CEM, CEP FLINT HILLS ENERGY CONSULTANT 117 S PARKRIDGE WICHITA, KS 67209 david@fheconsultants.net

MATTHEW H. MARCHANT HOLLYFRONTIER CORPORATION 2828 N HARWOOD STE 1300 DALLAS, TX 75201 matthew.marchant@hollyfrontier.com

DARIN L. RAINS HOLLYFRONTIER CORPORATION 2828 N Harwood, Ste. 1300 Dallas, TX 75201 darin.rains@hollyfrontier.com

JUSTIN WATERS, Energy Manager JUSTIN WATERS USD 259 School Serv. Cntr. 3850 N. Hydraulic Wichita, KS 67219 jwaters@usd259.net PHOENIX ANSHUTZ, LITIGATION ATTORNEY KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 <u>p.anshutz@kcc.ks.gov</u>

MICHAEL DUENES, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 m.duenes@kcc.ks.gov

AMBER SMITH, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 <u>a.smith@kcc.ks.gov</u>

TIMOTHY MAXWELL, Vice President, Specialty Finance KEF UNDERWRITING & PORTFOLIO MGMT. 1000 South McCaslin Blvd. Superior, CO 80027 timothy\_maxwell@keybank.com

KEVIN HIGGINS KEVIN C. HIGGINS PARKSIDE TOWERS 215 S STATE ST STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 <u>khiggins@energystrat.com</u>

MATTHEW B. McKEON, SVP & Senior Counsel II KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE 17 Corporate Woods Blvd. Albany, NY 12211 matthew.b.mckeon@key.com

AMY G. PAINE, SVP Asset Mgmt. KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE 1000 South McCaslin Blvd. Superior, CO 80027 amy.g.paine@key.com

GENE CARR, CO-CEO NETFORTRIS ACQUISITION CO., INC. 6900 DALLAS PKWY STE 250 PLANO, TX 75024-9859 gcarr@telekenex.com SUNIL BECTOR, ATTORNEY SIERRA CLUB 2101 WEBSTER, SUITE 1300 OAKLAND, CA 94312-3011 sunil.bector@sierraclub.org

ANDREW J. FRENCH, ATTORNEY AT LAW SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 andrew@smizak-law.com

DIANE WALSH, PARALEGAL SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 <u>DIANE@SMIZAK-LAW.COM</u>

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 jim@smizak-law.com

TOM POWELL, General Counsel-USD 259 TOM POWELL 903 S. EDGEMOOR Wichita, KS 67218 tpowell@usd259.net

JOHN M. CASSIDY, General Counsel TOPEKA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY 201 N. Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603 jcassidy@topekametro.org

AMY FELLOWS CLINE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 amycline@twgfirm.com

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 <u>TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM</u>

EMILY MEDLYN, GENERAL ATTORNEY U.S. ARMY LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY REGULATORY LAW OFFICE 9275 GUNSTON RD., STE. 1300 FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060-5546 emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil KEVIN K. LACHANCE, CONTRACT LAW ATTORNEY UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ADMIN & CIVIL LAW DIVISION OFFICE OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE FORT RILEY, KS 66442 kevin.k.lachance.civ@mail.mil

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 cathy.dinges@westarenergy.com

JEFFREY L. MARTIN, VICE PRESIDENT, REGULATORY AFFAIRS WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 JEFF.MARTIN@WESTARENERGY.COM ANDREW B. YOUNG, ATTORNEY MAYER BROWN LLP 1999 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ayoung@mayerbrown.com

DAVID L.WOODSMALL WOODSMALL LAW OFFICE 308 E HIGH ST STE 204 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 acallenbach@polsinelli.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 fcaro@polsinelli.com

ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 aschulte@polsinelli.com

Smith

Administrative Specialist