### BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Application of Midstates	)	Docket No. 19-CONS-3173-CUIC
Energy Operating, LLC to authorize injection	)	
of saltwater into the Squirrel formation at the	)	
Thrasher Wells #I-5, #I-4, and #I-3, and to	)	
increase the injection pressure on all wells	)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
encompassed by Permit E-31965, located in	)	
Section 25, Township 13 South, Range 20	)	
East, Douglas County, Kansas.	)	License No.: 35503

# **PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TERRY BALLOU**

- 1 I. <u>BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND QUALIFICATIONS</u>
- 2 Q. STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
- 3 A. My name is Terry Ballou. My business address is Ballou Oil Well Service, LLC, P.O. Box
- 4 352, Osawatomie, KS 66064.
- 5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
- 6 A. I am self-employed as an owner and officer of Ballou Oil Well Service, LLC ("Ballou Well
- 7 Service") which is an oil and gas service company, that performs contract lease pumping and
- 8 well pulling services in eastern Kansas. Ballou Well Services currently provides contract
- 9 pumping services on the Thrasher Lease for MidStates Energy Operating, LLC
- 10 ("MidStates") which is the applicant in this Docket.
- 11 Q. WHAT WAS THE NATURE OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO THAT TIME?
- A. I am a third generation oil operator and have been around the oil business my whole life. I
  started pumping oil leases in 1994 and in 1996 I began working full time as a contract oil
  lease pumper. In 1999 I purchased Ballou Well Service from my grandfather and since that
  time I have performed well pulling and lease pumping services for Ballou Well Service on a

full time basis. During my career I have been the full time pumper for more than 50 oil leases
 and 500 wells in eastern Kansas, and I have worked on many thousand wells all across
 eastern Kansas.

4 **II.** 

#### II. <u>PURPOSE OF THE SUBJECT APPLICATION</u>

# 5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE FOR FILING THE APPLICATION WHICH IS THE SUBJECT 6 OF THIS DOCKET?

- A. To obtain authority authorizing the use of three injection wells, the Thrasher #I-5, I-3 and I-4
  located in Section 25, Township 13 South, Range 20 East, Douglas County, Kansas and to
  increase the injection pressure on all wells encompassed by Permit E-31965.
- 10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROJECT MIDSTATES IS CONDUCTING ON THE
  11 THRASHER LEASE THAT HAS LED TO THE FILING OF THE SUBJECT
  12 APPLICATION.

13 Midstates became the operator of the Thrasher Lease in 2017 and at that time the Thrasher A. 14 #10 and I-5 injection wells had already been drilled. The Kansas Corporation Commission 15 ("KCC") had authorized injection into the Thrasher I-5 well but it had not authorized 16 injection into the Thrasher #10. Midstates obtained authorization from the KCC to utilize the 17 Thrasher #10 well as an injection well by and through Docket No. 18-CONS-3196-CUIC 18 however through certain filings in said docket, Midstates became aware that the injection 19 authority the Thrasher I-5 well had been revoked by reason of the prior operator failing to 20 keep its operator's license current. Promptly upon learning that such permit had been 21 revoked, Midstates filed its application to reinstate injection authority for the Thrasher #I-5 22 Well in order to voluntarily maintain compliance with KCC rules and regulations. Midstates

1 also wishes to drill two additional injection wells, i.e. the Thrasher I-3 and I-4 to further 2 develop the field and therefore Midstates seeks design approval for such wells through this 3 Docket. In addition, in 2018 the Commission authorized Midstates to inject into the Thrasher 4 #10 at a maximum injection rate of 100 barrels per-day and a maximum injection pressure of 5 400 psig. Upon receiving such authority Midstates attempted to place such well into service, 6 however such well will not accept sufficient water at 400 psig pressure to achieve an effective 7 water flood on the Thrasher lease. Therefore, Midstates requests authority to increase such injection pressure to 500 psig for all wells on the Thrasher lease, in order to cause such wells 8 9 to accept sufficient water to achieve an effective water flood.

10 It is common practice to drill injection wells in eastern Kansas as part of the 11 development process to maximize ultimate recovery of oil and to utilize produced water. 12 Unless a proper water flood is implemented utilizing the subject injection wells, significantly 13 less oil will be recovered from the production wells on the Thrasher Lease thereby wasting a 14 portion of the recoverable oil beneath said lease.

15 The Thrasher I-5 was permitted in 2014 and has been operating since such time with 16 no adverse effects attributable to such injection during that time. Thus, there is no reason that 17 we cannot also conclude that the Thrasher #I-3 and I-4 well will operate equally as safely 18 and efficiently as the Thrasher I-5 has operated for the last five years.

In addition, injection into the Thrasher #I-5, I-3 and I-4 will complement the injection into the Thrasher #10 that was permitted in 2018. Injection of water into the Thrasher I-3 and I-4 will simply further develop and expand the water flood already being conducted upon the Thrasher Lease. Q. AS PART OF THE APPLICATION PROCESS, THE APPLICANT WAS REQUIRED TO
 PUBLISH NOTICE AS WELL AS GIVE NOTICE BY MAIL TO ALL LANDOWNERS
 AND LEASEHOLD INTEREST OWNERS WITHIN A HALF MILE OF THE THRASHER

4 LEASE. WERE THOSE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS COMPLIED WITH?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. WILL THE INJECTION WELLS WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE PENDING
7 APPLICATION COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS
8 PROMULGATED BY THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. IN YOUR OPINION WILL THE WELL CONSTRUCTION AND DESIGN OF THE
11 SUBJECT WELLS PROPERLY PROTECT THE FRESH AND USABLE WATER
12 RESOURCES IN THE AREA?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. IN YOUR OPINION WILL GRANTING THE APPLICATION WHICH IS THE SUBJECT
15 OF THIS DOCKET PREVENT WASTE?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. IN YOUR OPINION WILL INJECTION INTO THE SQUIRREL FORMATION
18 THROUGH THE SUBJECT INJECTION WELLS BE CONTAINED WITHIN THE SAID
19 SQUIRREL FORMATION?

20 A. Yes.

Q. IN YOUR OPINION WILL INJECTION AT THE PROPOSED RATE AND PROPOSED
 INCREASED PRESSURE THROUGH THE SUBJECT INJECTION WELLS INTO THE

4

SQUIRREL FORMATION INITIATE FRACTURES THROUGH THE STRATA
 OVERLAYING THE SAID SQUIRREL FORMATION THAT COULD ENABLE
 INJECTION FLUID OR FORMATION FLUID TO ENTER FRESH AND USABLE
 WATER STRATA?

5 No. Injection at the rates and pressures proposed in the subject application is very common in A. 6 this area for injection wells into the Squirrel formation. In addition, the Hertha limestone 7 formation located above the Squirrel formation is nearly 300 feet thick and such formation 8 truly is impermeable. Due to the extremely thick and impermeable Hertha limestone 9 formation present in this area the only way injection or formation fluid could migrate up and 10 enter fresh and usable water strata would be through a casing failure. However, well 11 completion reports and Mechanical Integrity Tests performed on the I-5 Well well clearly 12 demonstrate that there is no casing failure in the Thrasher I-5 and such well completion 13 reports and mechanical integrity tests will demonstrate that no casing failure exists on the 14 Thrasher I-3 and I-4 before injection is authorized by Commission staff pursuant to the design 15 approval process.

Q. THE SUBJECT APPLICATIONS REQUEST A MAXIMUM INJECTION RATE OF 100
BBLS/DAY AND A MAXIMUM INJECTION PRESSURE OF 500 PSIG, PLEASE
EXPLAIN WHY THESE RATES AND PRESSURES ARE NEEDED?

A. The Squirrel formation is a somewhat tight formation and higher pressures are required in order to get this reservoir to accept water in a manner that is conducive to an effective water flood. Injection through the Thrasher #10 well was attempted at 400 psig, however the reservoir would not accept sufficient water through such well so increased pressure is

1 required. If the subject authority is obtained we will begin injection at the maximum 500 psig 2 requested in the application. In my opinion we would not be able to operate an effective water flood upon the Thrasher Lease using injection pressures less than 500 psig, and a portion of 3 4 the recoverable oil would be left unrecovered and waste will occur. The injection rate is 5 important as well, because in order to operate an effective water flood on the Thrasher Lease 6 it is critical that we inject more fluid into the reservoir than is removed through the production 7 wells. Therefore, the request for 100 bbls/day is based upon the needs of the water flood 8 program that is proposed upon the Thrasher Lease. In addition, both the injection rate and 9 volume are consistent with other operations in the area and also with the rates and pressures 10 approved by the Commission for other injection wells in the area.

Q. ARE ALL OF THE WELLS WITHIN A QUARTER MILE RADIUS OF THIS INJECTION
 WELL COMPLETED IN A MANNER TO PROTECT FRESH AND USABLE WATER
 RESOURCES?

14 A. I believe they are.

Q. SOME PROTESTANTS HAVE EXPRESSED A CONCERN ABOUT THE FRESH
WATER AQUIFER IN THE AREA AND GROUND WATER. COULD YOU PLEASE
ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS?

A. The Well Completion Report for the Thrasher I-5 well indicate that when the well was drilled the operator drilled down approximately 40 feet, and set that length of seven inch surface casing, and pumped cement up the backside of that pipe for its entire length. Then they drilled down to the total depth of approximately 822 feet, and completed the well into the Squirrel formation which is the formation that the subject well will inject into using 2.875" casing and

1 pumped cement up the back side of that casing for its entire length. A Mechanical Integrity 2 Test ("MIT") was performed upon the well in 2017 prior to filing the Midstates Application to ensure the integrity of the well construction. The subject well passed the MIT test with no 3 4 issues. This well will be required to pass MIT's every five years as required by KCC 5 regulations. The Thrasher I-3 and I-4 wells will be completed in the same manner as the 6 Thrasher I-5 and will be subjected to the same MIT requirements. Additionally, I inspect the 7 Thrasher Lease every day and I am knowledgeable enough to detect any issues that could 8 arise, such as leaks, excessive pressures, etc. With the well construction techniques utilized, 9 the MIT testing, and the daily monitoring by Ballou Well Service the subject well sufficiently 10 protects all fresh and usable water.

Q. IN YOUR OPINION DO THE SUBJECT INJECTION WELLS POSE A SIGNIFICANT
 RISK TO FRESH AND USABLE GROUND WATER FORMATIONS IN THE AREA?
 A. No.

14 Q. SOME PROTESTANTS HAVE EXPRESSED A CONCERN ABOUT INDUCED
 15 SEISMICITY CAUSED BY THE SUBJECT INJECTION WELLS. COULD YOU PLEASE
 16 ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS?

A. First, there are approximately 79 injection wells located within a 3 mile radius from the subject injection well (including two on the Thrasher Lease), all of which have operated at rates and pressures similar to what is being requested in this Docket and there is no evidence that any of such wells have caused or contributed to any induced seismicity.

Second, this well is a true enhanced recovery well in that its purpose is to increase the
 ultimate recovery of oil from the Thrasher Lease. The Thrasher Lease produces very little

water and what water is produced will be injected back into the same formation from which it
came together with an adequate amount of makeup water to conduct an adequate water flood.
Thus this well is in no way similar to the high volume deep disposal wells that have been
linked to induced seismicity.

5 Third, it is my understanding that seismic activity originates in the basement rock and 6 in order for there to be any potential for induced seismicity, the water injection must 7 communicate with such formation. However, the basement rock is found at approximately 8 2,300 - 2,500 feet in the region where the subject well is located and the subject well is 9 completed into the Squirrel formation which is found at approximately 729 feet. Therefore, 10 more than 1,500 feet of impermeable rock and shale layers will prevent the subject well from 11 communicating with the basement rock.

Finally, the injection rates and pressures being sought in this Docket are incredibly minimal in the context of oil and gas development and I am not aware of any investigation, study or credible research which has ever found a correlation between induced seismicity and injection wells operating at rates and pressures as low as those being requested in this Docket. ODES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY TO THE COMMISSION?

17 A. Yes.

### VERIFICATION OF TERRY BALLOU

### STATE OF KANSAS COUNTY OF FRANKLIN, ss:

Terry Ballou, being duly sworn, upon his oath states that he has read the document titled "Prefiled Direct Testimony of Terry Ballou" to which this Verification is attached, that he is aware of its contents, and declares that the statements contained in said document are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Terry Ballou

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 25th day of February, 2019.

Notary Public

Appointment/Commission Expires:

NOTARY PUBLIC - State of Kansas TRACY L. CLIFTON My Appt. Exp. 10-110-20

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the above and foregoing was sent via U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, hand-delivery, or electronically, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2019, addressed to:

James Bondurant and Patricia Bondurant 1028 E 1901 Road Eudora, KS 66025

Judith Wells judithlouisewells@gmail.com

Jake Eastes j.eastes@kcc.ks.gov

Jonathan R. Myers j.myers@kcc.ks.gov

Rene Stucky r.stucky@kcc.ks.gov

Lauren Wright <u>l.wright@kcc.ks.gov</u>

Karin Pagel-Meiners kpagelmeiners@earthlink.net

Richard Bettinger rickbett63@gmail.com

IL h

Keith A. Brock